

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

SU

				O	
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,528	02/22/2002	Jessica E. Lemay	460.2126USU	1045	
7590 04/22/2004			EXAMINER		
Charles N.J. Ruggiero, Esq.			TRUONG, LINH T		
Ohlandt, Greele	y, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L				
10th Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
One Landmark Square			3761	^	
Stamford, CT 06901-2682			DATE MAILED: 04/22/200-	4 S	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	.							
i)		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/081,528	_	LEMAY ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Linh Truong		3761				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address	S			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutoure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event ation. 195, a reply within the statuto ry period will apply and will e by statute, cause the applica	however, may a reply be timery minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commur O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 26 January 2004.						
•—	•	☐ This action is nor	n-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims			·				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-116 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-54,70-85 and 96-116 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 18-30,55-69 and 86-95 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 9-17 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	accepted or b) n to the drawing(s) be correction is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority do action for the certified copies of the priority do action for the certified copies of the priority do action for the certified copies of the priority do action for the certified copies of the priority do action for the certified copies of the priority do action for the certified copies of the priority do action for the certified copies of the priority do action for the certified copies of the certified c	cuments have been cuments have been the priority documen Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stag	je			
Attachmer			l)	(PTO-413)				
2) Notion Notion Notion Notion	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4 and 5</u>	O/SB/08) 5	Paper No(s)/Mail Da)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of to the election of Species 1, which is drawn to claims 18-30 and 55-69 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged (claims 1-17 and 86-95 are generic). The traversal is on the ground(s) that "... Species 1 to 3 are all directed to multi-component tampon applicators and the methods of making multi-component tampon applicators...." This is not found persuasive because Species 1 to 3 are patentably distinct from one another.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that **the abstract not exceed 150 words in length** since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it has more than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Art Unit: 3761

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McNamara '6,524,269.

For claims 1 and 7-8, McNamara teaches a multi-component tampon made of three separately formed components: 1) insertion tip 74, plunger 58, and barrel 54 with a forward and a rearward end, and the barrel comprises eight petals 40 on the forward end (fig.4, col. 6, lines 16-20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3761

Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McNamara '6,524,269 in view of McNelis et al. (McNeilis) '6,056,714.

For claims 2-6, McNamara does not teach the material from which all the tampon components are made from. Plastic and cardboard are well known materials in the tampon art for their safety and availability. McNeilis teaches a tampon applicator with a plunger and barrel that could either be made from plain or coated paper or cardboard or plastic. The coatings that may be used on the paper include wax, plastic, and cellulose (col.6, lines 32-38). Therefore, it is obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the tampon components of McNamara with the coated paper or cardboard or plastic materials of McNeilis for the production of safe and convenient tampons.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 18-10, 55-69, and 86-95 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,528

Art Unit: 3761

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. patents 4,536,178 and 6,264,626 are drawn to tampons with fingergrips.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linh Truong whose telephone number is 703-605-4974.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Fridays from 8:30am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on 703-305-1025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Linh Truong

*** IT.

JOHN CALVERT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 5